

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



## NOTICES FROM THE LICK OBSERVATORY.

PREPARED BY MEMBERS OF THE STAFF.

## COMET HOLMES.

Comet Holmes has been an object of extraordinary interest. Its spectrum and its form at discovery were unique (see *Publications A. S. P.*, No. 26, p. 249); and its subsequent changes of form have been remarkable. On November 8 it was very bright, well defined, nearly round, and about 5'.5 in diameter. It grew larger and fainter, until, on November 24, I observed it to be about 20' in diameter, and to have assumed the ordinary comet form. It continued to diminish in brightness, and Professor Hough writes that about the middle of December it had become so faint as to be a difficult object with the 18½-inch refractor, and that the volume diminished, until, on the 14th of January, it appeared like a faint globular nebula, about 2' of arc in diameter.

Some time between January 14 and 16 the faint nebulous mass changed into what appeared to be a nebulous star of about the 8th magnitude! It was first detected by Palisa of Vienna, and, later the same evening, by Hough at Evanston, by Wilson at Northfield, and by Barnard at Lick Observatory. The stellar nucleus was surrounded by a bright and well defined nebulosity, about 45" in diameter. Observations on succeeding evenings showed that the nucleus was decreasing in brightness and the nebulous envelope was growing larger and fainter. In February the comet was very diffuse, with a very poorly defined nucleus.

In view of the fact that the orbit of the comet does not differ greatly from those of the asteroids, Mr. S. J. CORRIGAN of St. Paul suggested that possibly the origin of the comet could be attributed to the *collision of two asteroids*, but, after a careful investigation, he concluded that no two of the already discovered

asteroids could so collide. He therefore suggests as a hypothesis that the comet had its origin in the collision of two asteroids which were still *undiscovered*.

The most interesting and scholarly paper on this comet which we have seen is from the pen of Professor Boss of Albany, recently published in the *Astronomical Journal*. In the following article will be found copious quotations from that paper. W. W. C.

Concerning the Orbit of Comet Holmes, and on the Fluctuations in Brightness of that Comet [by Lewis Boss, Director of the Dudley Observatory, Albany, N. Y.].

"Considering the past behavior of this comet it may be thought desirable to keep a watch upon its place for some time to come.

In order to facilitate this purpose, I present herewith the results of a new calculation of its elements. These are based upon normal places derived from observations of November 8 to November 15, inclusive, 31 observations; December 9 to December 16, 16 observations; January 16 to January 21, 20 observations. \* \* \*

## ELEMENTS.

Epoch 1892, December 14.5, Gr. M. T.  $\mathbf{M} = 26^{\circ} 18' 27''.6$   $\pi = 345 53 28.8$   $\omega = 14 12 14.9$   $\Omega = 331 41 14.0$  i = 20 47 16.5  $\phi = 24 11 52.4$   $\mu = 513''.9093$   $\log. a = 0.5594134$  T = 1892, June 13.21138  $\log. q = 0.3303468$ Period 2521.85 days.

The next perihelion passage is therefore due about May 10, 1899, and under rather less favorable relations than those which theoretically existed for the opposition recently passed.

Taking into account the apparent precision of the observations, together with the satisfactory representation of the totality of observations since discovery, it becomes quite evident that there has been no very great displacement of the nucleus during